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#### Abstract

Electrodeposition of $\mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{Co}$ and ZnCo from acid sulfate solutions onto steel was investigated in this first part of a study of the effects of SiC or $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ particles on these processes and the formation of $\mathrm{ZnCo}-\mathrm{SiC}$ and $\mathrm{ZnCo}-\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ electrocomposites. Zn electrodeposition shows a well-defined pre-bulk region, where the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER) and Zn underpotential deposition (upd) compete. Zn bulk electrodeposition begins with primary nucleation and diffusion-controlled growth, strongly dependent on conditions favoring previous Zn upd against HER. It is assumed that this first bulk process takes place over the upd $\mathrm{Zn} . \mathrm{Zn}$ bulk electrodeposition is followed by secondary nucleation and growth. Co electrodeposition begins with a slow $\mathrm{Co}_{(\text {aq })}^{2+}$ reduction in parallel with HER, followed by a faster $\mathrm{Co}_{(\mathrm{aq})}^{2+}$ reduction. $\mathrm{Zn}_{(\text {aq) }}^{2+}$ strongly hinders the initial $\mathrm{Co}_{(\mathrm{aq})}^{2+}$ reduction. The ZnCo and Zn electrodeposition curves are initially similar, retaining features of pre-bulk and bulk Zn electrodeposition.
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## 1 Introduction

In the last few years, there has been mounting interest in the field of electrocomposite coatings (ECC), metal-matrix composite coatings obtained by electrodeposition [1, 2]. Metal-matrix composites consist basically of a ductile

[^0]metal matrix in which a second insoluble phase is dispersed [3]. For example, the dispersed particles may be hard (carbides, oxides, etc.) or lubricants (PTFE, graphite, etc.)
[2]. They can vary from nanometric to micrometric sizes and can enhance significantly many properties of the deposits, including their mechanical [3-6], tribological [5, 7, 8] and anti-corrosion behavior [9, 10]. These effects depend on the content, size and type of particles being incorporated into the metal matrix [3, 5, 7, 8]. Research on ECC concentrates mainly on the influence of the incorporated particles on the properties of the composites and on the particle co-deposition mechanisms. The latter are not entirely understood [2, 11-16].

Regarding the influence of added particles on the electrodeposition curves, it has been found, in some cases, that particles suspended in the electrodeposition solution promote higher current densities [17-20]. In other cases, polarization of the electrodeposition process is observed [5, 19, 21, 22]. Webb and Robertson [22] studied $\mathrm{Ni}-\gamma-\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ co-deposition and estimated that $90 \%$ of the electroactive area of the electrode would have to be blocked by particles to explain an observed polarization of 80 mV . They concluded that more complex surface blockage/adsorption effects must be present. Polarization and even depolarization caused by particles can thus be considered little understood phenomena in composite electrodeposition.

Zinc is one of the main coatings employed in the corrosion protection of steel, acting as a barrier layer or sacrificial coating [23]. Zn electrodeposition can be performed in alkaline or acid baths [24]. Current research on acid baths is mainly devoted to the effects of organic additives on Zn electrodeposition [25-32]. Alloying of Zn with small quantities of Co increases its corrosion resistance [33]. ZnCo electrodeposition is known to be anomalous, in that the less noble element, Zn , is deposited
in preference to the nobler one, Co. Several hypotheses have been proposed to account for anomalous electrodeposition [34-44]. In some cases, suspended ceramic particles are known to interfere with anomalous electrodeposition [5, 45, 46].

In order to achieve a good understanding of the effects of suspended particles on the electrochemistry of metal electrodeposition, it is first necessary to have a detailed characterization of the equivalent electrodeposition from particle-free solutions. The main aim of the present series of papers is the investigation of the effects of SiC and $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ particles on the electrodepositions of $\mathrm{Zn}, \mathrm{Co}$ and ZnCo and how they influence the formation of $\mathrm{ZnCo}-\mathrm{SiC}$ and $\mathrm{ZnCo}-$ $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ electrocomposites. In this first part, the objective is to characterize the Zn , Co and ZnCo electrodeposition processes in particle-free conditions to allow, in Part II, an evaluation of the effects of SiC and $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ on these processes.

## 2 Experimental

The normal ZnCo electrodeposition solution employed was: $0.4 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{ZnSO}_{4} \cdot 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+0.4 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CoSO} 4 \cdot 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+0.3 \mathrm{M}$ $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BO}_{3}+1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$. A blank solution ( $0.3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BO}_{3}+$ $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH} 44 \mathrm{Cl})$ and $\mathrm{Zn}\left(0.4 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{ZnSO} 4 \cdot 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+0.3 \mathrm{M}\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BO}_{3}+1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH} 4 \mathrm{Cl}\right)$ and $\mathrm{Co}\left(0.4 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CoSO} 4 \cdot 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+\right.$ $0.3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BO}_{3}+1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ ) electrodeposition solutions were also employed. The pH of each solution was adjusted to 2 with $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ and all the measurements were made at this pH unless otherwise stated. All the reagents were of analytical grade and the water was distilled deionized water (ddw). The electrochemical cell was a cylindrical beaker with $150 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ capacity. The working electrode (WE) was a rotating disk electrode (RDE) consisting of an interchangeable mild steel cylinder embedded in an epoxy resin, giving an electroactive flat disk surface area of $0.38 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$. Before each experiment WE was polished with 600-emery paper, rinsed with ddw and dried. The counter-electrode was a Pt plate ( $1 \mathrm{~cm}^{2}$ ) and the reference electrode to which all the potentials were referred was a normal calomel electrode ( $\mathrm{NCE}, 1 \mathrm{~N} \mathrm{KCl}$ ). The measurements were conducted at room temperature $\left(25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ and current density (i)-potential $(E)$ curves were obtained at a constant sweep rate ( $v$ ) of $10 \mathrm{mV} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$.

All electrochemical measurements were made with an Oxford Rotating Disk Electrode system. The rotation frequency ( $\omega$ ) was calibrated with a Strobodyn TR-5501 stroboscope. All experiments were conducted with an EG\&G Instruments Model 362 Scanning Potentiostat/ Galvanostat. The data were recorded in a Nicolet 310 storage oscilloscope.

Energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) semiquantitative microanalysis of ZnCo deposit surfaces was
performed with a Zeiss Digital Scanning Microscope DSM-960 and an Isis Oxford Instruments Si(Li) Link EDX detector, Serial Number 21869. The films analyzed by EDX were deposited at constant galvanostatic cathodic current densities $\left(i_{\mathrm{g}}\right)$, until the deposited charge density $\left(q_{\mathrm{d}}\right)$ was $80 \mathrm{C} \mathrm{cm}^{-2}$. By Faraday's law, this corresponds to approximately $33 \mu \mathrm{~m}$ of coating thickness, assuming $100 \%$ current efficiency.

## 3 Results and discussion

### 3.1 The electrodeposition of Zn

### 3.1.1 The pre-bulk electrodeposition region

Figure 1 shows typical $i-E$ curves for the steel disk electrode in the Zn electrodeposition solution under stationary and rotating electrode conditions. These $i-E$ profiles are very close to those for acid chloride electrodeposition solutions [47]. An expanded view of the first part of the $i-E$ curves in Fig. 1 is provided in Fig. 2, where $i-E$ curves for steel in the blank solution ( $0.3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BO}_{3}+1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ ) are also shown. Starting from -0.6 V , under all the conditions, there is an increase in current density related to the hydrogen evolution reaction (HER): $2 \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}_{(\mathrm{aq})}^{+}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{H}_{2(\mathrm{~g})}+2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. The HER, which is mass-transfer controlled (Fig. 3), exhibits smaller current densities when $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BO}_{3}$ is in solution and $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BO}_{3}$ also depolarizes water reduction $\left(2 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+2 \mathrm{e}^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{H}_{2(\mathrm{~g})}+2 \mathrm{OH}_{(\mathrm{aq})}^{-}\right)$. These results are in agreement with those of Zech and Landolt [48].

Figure 2 shows that HER from $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$reduction is strongly hindered with $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ ions in solution, giving rise to


Fig. 1 Typical $i-E$ curves for Zn electrodeposition from 0.4 M $\mathrm{ZnSO}_{4} \cdot 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+0.3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BO}_{3}+1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH} 4 \mathrm{Cl}$. Stationary $(\omega=$ 0 rpm ) and rotating electrode conditions $(\omega=600 \mathrm{rpm})$, as indicated. $v=10 \mathrm{mV} \mathrm{s}{ }^{-1} . E_{i}$ : starting potential


Fig. 2 Continuous lines: an expanded view of the initial part of $i-E$ curves in Fig. 1. Dashed lines: $i-E$ curves for the steel disk electrode in the blank solution $\left(0.3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BO}_{3}+1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH} 4 \mathrm{Cl}\right)$. Stationary ( $\omega=0 \mathrm{rpm}$ ) and rotating electrode conditions $(\omega=600 \mathrm{rpm})$, as indicated. $v=10 \mathrm{mV} \mathrm{s}^{-1} . E_{i}$ : starting potential


Fig. 3 Typical $i-E$ curves for the steel electrode in $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ and in $1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}+0.3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BO}_{3}$ solutions at several different $\omega$, as indicated. In all cases, $v=10 \mathrm{mV} \mathrm{s}{ }^{-1} . E_{i}$ : starting potential
a cathodic peak $c_{0}$. With the stationary electrode, the HER is low and its contribution to the $c_{0}$ peak may be considered negligible, compared to the rotating electrode. The peak $c_{0}$ occurs in a pre-bulk deposition region since the Nernst reversible potential for the $\mathrm{Zn}_{(\mathrm{aq})}^{2+} / \mathrm{Zn}$ couple for 0.4 M of $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ is estimated to be -1.05 V at $25{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. This behavior is normal for Zn electrodeposition from acid solutions [2527, 30, 49-55] and has many interpretations. It can be attributed to Zn underpotential deposition (upd) [34, 49] and Zn upd together with HER [25, 27, 30, 50-52]. Some authors, such as Lee et al. [31], consider that in this region nucleation of Zn competes with the HER. Others suggest that zinc hydroxide is formed on steel in this region, owing to the high $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$consumption [26,53,55]. The formation
of zinc hydroxide or some dehydration product, such as ZnO , it is hard to imagine here, since there would need to be a strong alkalization at the electrode surface to reach a pH of 5.1 at which insoluble $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ precipitates [35]. Fabri Miranda et al. [36] did not observe a sufficient rise in pH for $\mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{OH})_{2}$ precipitation at the beginning of ZnNi electrodeposition at pH 1.5 or 3. Baugh [56, 57] did not find reduction of ZnO films on Zn at pH 3.8 and also showed that $\mathrm{Cl}^{-}$and $\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$have a destabilizing effect on films predominantly composed of ZnO on Zn .

The steel electrode can form an iron oxide film during pre-treatment and/or even during immersion in the solution before polarization. This iron oxide film could be reduced in the cathodic scan, contributing to peak $c_{0}$. In the present study, it is assumed that no reduction of an iron oxide film occurs in this region since, in Fig. 3, there is no peak related to such a reduction or it is too small to be significant.

Hence, peak $c_{0}$ in Fig. 2 has contributions from Zn upd together with the HER from $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$reduction. The latter proceeds at a fast rate under rotating electrode conditions. The Zn upd hinders the HER by the well-known high polarization of HER on Zn substrates. As $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$competes with $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ at active sites for adsorption $[30,31,58,59]$, a smaller area of steel is expected to be covered by Zn upd under forced convection conditions. These pre-bulk electrodeposition processes, as will be seen later, affect the bulk electrodeposition.

### 3.1.2 The bulk electrodeposition

In Figs. 1 and 2, at potentials more negative than approximately -1.06 V , the bulk electrodeposition of Zn starts. There is a first process, labeled $c_{1}$, which has an atypical behavior when stationary and rotating electrode conditions are compared. After a zone of similar current densities in both curves, with electrode rotation, the current rises to a maximum, giving rise to a peak or, sometimes, a plateau, whereas in stationary conditions, $c_{1}$ is characterized by a shoulder, reaching higher current densities than under rotating conditions. Under both conditions, after $c_{1}$, the current begins to rise again. As the scan proceeds, a masstransfer controlled peak $c_{2}$ appears for the stationary electrode (Fig. 1). After this, the current density starts to increase again, due to the HER on Zn , together with Zn growth.

As one of the objectives of this work is to evaluate the effects of SiC and $\mathrm{Al}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{3}$ on the electrodeposition of Zn , the region $c_{1}$ and its atypical behavior with respect to $\omega$ must be understood. The influence of $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BO}_{3}$ on process $c_{1}$ was investigated and it was demonstrated that it has effects only on the HER before peak $c_{0}$, as shown in Fig. 3.

In Fig. 4, a well-defined anodic peak can be observed when the potential sweep is reversed at a switching


Fig. 4 Typical $i-E$ curves for stationary ( $\omega=0 \mathrm{rpm}$ ) and rotating electrode conditions ( $\omega=1200 \mathrm{rpm}$ ), as indicated, for the zinc electrodeposition, when the potential sweep is reversed at a switching potential $\left(E_{\lambda}\right)$ inside the region $c_{1}$. $E_{i}$ : starting potential
potential $\left(E_{\lambda}\right)$ inside region $c_{1}$ for the stationary electrode, indicating Zn electrodeposition. In rotating conditions, the HER is so large that it makes the total current density in the anodic scan negative, prevailing over the Zn dissolution current. Owing to the large polarization of HER on Zn , the results of Fig. 4 for rotating electrode conditions show that in $c_{1}$, the surface coverage by Zn is small, allowing the HER to occur on the steel surface. Rashkov et al. [60] observed small islands of Zn deposit on Al at the beginning of Zn electrodeposition. Also, as HER is strongly dependent on $\omega, \mathrm{H}_{2}$ bubbles may be adsorbed at the steel surface, contributing to the small coverage by Zn , as pointed out by Gomes and da Silva Pereira [26]. The same probably occurs for $\omega=0$, but to a much lesser degree, with a higher surface coverage by Zn .

Under stationary electrode conditions, in a magnified view, a cathodic peak in the anodic scan after sweep reversal at $E_{\lambda}$ in region $c_{1}$ is observed in Fig. 5. This characterizes nucleation and growth phenomena in the bulk region $c_{1}$.

An interesting feature of the current densities at $c_{1}$ and $c_{0}$ is that they are practically independent of $\left[\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\right]$ under stationary conditions, as shown in Fig. 6a. As expected, peak $c_{2}$ is much higher at higher $\left[\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\right]$ (Fig. 6b). The independence of the pre-bulk electrodeposition peak $c_{0}$ from $\left[\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\right]$ may indicate a maximum adsorbed quantity of Zn [51]. The lack of dependence of both $c_{0}$ and $c_{1}$ on $\left[\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\right]$ under stationary conditions shows that the same quantity of Zn is deposited and there is the same degree of coverage of steel by the Zn upd layer at both $\left[\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\right]$; also $c_{1}$ is a bulk process strictly dependent on the initial Zn upd layer. In total agreement with this interpretation are the results for the rotating electrode conditions in Fig. 6c. The fall in the current density of peak $c_{0}$ as $\left[\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\right]$ increases is


Fig. 5 Expanded view of the $i-E$ behavior for zinc electrodeposition around the switching potential $\left(E_{\lambda}\right)$ inside the region $c_{1}$, where the potential sweep is reversed. The arrows indicate the direction of potential sweep. Stationary steel electrode. $v=10 \mathrm{mV} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$
due to a heavier Zn upd deposited layer, which suppresses the contribution of HER. On the same basis, the current densities in $c_{1}$ are higher for 0.9 M of $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$, showing again that the bulk $c_{1}$ region is strictly dependent on the quantity of previously deposited Zn upd.

It must be emphasized that all these processes at the beginning of Zn electrodeposition were also observed at $\mathrm{pH}=5$ and in solutions in which $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ was replaced by $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$ in the electrolytes. With $\mathrm{pH}=5$, the atypical behavior of region $c_{1}$ with respect to $\omega$ is still present but to a lesser degree than at $\mathrm{pH}=2$, as would be expected, since the HER will be slower at this pH , leading to a higher coverage by Zn upd in the pre-bulk electrodeposition region.

Some typical voltammetric curves for the steel electrode in the Zn electrodeposition solution, now with a switching potential $\left(E_{\lambda}\right)$ of -1.19 V , are shown in Fig. 7. It is observed that the bulk process $c_{1}$ on a rotating electrode, is under diffusion control as the current rises with $\omega$. Moreover, there is a cathodic peak at the start of the reverse scans, showing that the new increase in current density after $c_{1}$ is related to a new nucleation and growth process. In the anodic branch of Fig. 7, a peak related to Zn electrodissolution is observed and after that, especially under rotating conditions, the current density becomes cathodic, due to the HER on the steel electrode in the same sense as in Fig. 4.

In Fig. 7 there is a significant difference in the dissolution peak areas between stationary and rotating electrode conditions. This is investigated in more detail in Fig. 8, where the anodic ( $q_{\mathrm{a}}$ ) to cathodic ( $q_{\mathrm{c}}$ ) charge density ratios ( $\left.\left|q_{\mathrm{a}} / q_{\mathrm{c}}\right|\right)$ for similar experiments are displayed. The $q_{\mathrm{c}}$ values were obtained from the beginning of the bulk process $c_{1}$,


Fig. 6 Typical $i-E$ curves for the steel electrode in the zinc electrodeposition solution containing two different concentrations of $\mathrm{ZnSO}_{4}$, as indicated. (a) initial behavior; (b) complete profile and (c) the initial behavior at $\omega=600 \mathrm{rpm}$. For all the cases, $v=10 \mathrm{mV} \mathrm{s}^{-1} . E_{i}$ : starting potential
disregarding the pre-bulk deposition region. It can be seen in Fig. 8a, firstly, that $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BO}_{3}$ has a negligible effect on the $\left|q_{\mathrm{a}} / q_{\mathrm{c}}\right|$ ratio. Secondly, this ratio decreases with increasing
$\omega$, reflecting the lower coverage of the steel surface by Zn under rotating conditions, since the enhanced HER on steel will affect the $\left|q_{\mathrm{a}}\right| q_{\mathrm{c}} \mid$ ratio. Thus, at $\mathrm{pH} 5,\left|q_{\mathrm{a}} / q_{\mathrm{c}}\right|$ is higher than at pH 2 when $\omega>0$ (Fig. 8a), as expected. At $\omega=0 \mathrm{rpm}$, the highest $\left|q_{\mathrm{a}} / q_{\mathrm{c}}\right|$ ratios are observed, irrespective of pH and also, very close to that for $\left[\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\right]=0.9 \mathrm{M}$ (see Fig. 8b). In relation to this, despite the higher overpotential attained at $E_{\lambda}$ of -1.19 V for the 0.9 M solution, at $\omega=0 \mathrm{rpm}$, there is practically no dependence of $\left|q_{\mathrm{a}} / q_{\mathrm{c}}\right|$ on $\left[\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\right]$. In Fig. 8 b , at $\omega=600 \mathrm{rpm}$, the difference in $\left|q_{\mathrm{a}}\right| q_{\mathrm{c}} \mid$ is very high and, even allowing for the distinct overpotentials, this result again indicates a higher steel surface coverage by Zn at higher $\left[\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\right]$.

From the above results, it can be concluded that the Zn electrodeposition on steel from the solution analyzed here initially involves Zn upd together with the HER from $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$reduction, in the pre-bulk electrodeposition region, followed by bulk electrodeposition involving a primary nucleation and growth of Zn in region $c_{1}$, followed by secondary nucleation and growth. All the bulk electrodeposition processes are dependent on the pre-bulk degree of coverage during Zn upd. This explains the atypical behavior of $c_{1}$ when stationary and rotating electrode conditions are compared (Fig. 2). Where there is a smaller quantity of Zn upd and lower coverage as in rotating electrode conditions, smaller current densities will be attained in $c_{1}$.

It is not clear how the bulk processes depend on the prebulk ones, but it seems that the primary nucleation must occur over the Zn upd layer patches. Nucleation and growth on top of previously formed upd layers are known in the literature and described by the Stranski-Krastanov or Frank-van der Merwe mechanisms [61]. The patches of Zn upd act as active sites for Zn growth in the primary nucleation and growth. This means that, on the steel surface uncovered by Zn upd, there is a negligible number of active sites for Zn nucleation in the potential region of $c_{1}$. For the stationary electrode, the electrode surface is practically all covered by Zn upd in the pre-bulk electrodeposition region and a much higher number of Zn nuclei are formed, leading to the higher observed current densities than those for rotating electrode conditions.

Additionally, the primary nucleation and growth is diffusion controlled, as can be seen from the dependence of $c_{1}$ on $\omega$ in Fig. 7. The existence of diffusion control of bulk deposition under forced convection conditions in region $c_{1}$ means that the current density must be concentrated on the small number of nuclei formed on the Zn upd layer. This would give rise to individual hemispherical nucleus diffusion zones around each nucleus which can collide with each other (soft collision), generating exclusion zones [62] and hindering nucleation and growth on the included active sites. Under stationary electrode conditions, the Zn upd


Fig. 7 Typical $i-E$ curves for the steel electrode in the zinc electrodeposition solution $\left(0.4 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{ZnSO}_{4} \cdot 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+0.3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BO}_{3}+1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}\right)$ at different $\omega$, as indicated, for a switching potential $\left(E_{\lambda}\right)$ of $-1.19 \mathrm{~V} . v=10 \mathrm{mV} \mathrm{s}^{-1}$
must cover practically the whole electrode surface and a large number of nuclei are thus formed. As a consequence, the current is distributed over almost the entire electrode surface and not concentrated on the small number of nuclei formed under rotating conditions. The diffusion control will thus appear at higher current densities as visualized in the $c_{1}$ shoulder in Fig. 2.

The secondary nucleation and growth after $c_{1}$ also depends on the previous quantity of Zn electrodeposited. It is much less favored when a small amount of Zn has been electrodeposited within $c_{1}$ (rotating electrode). At more negative potentials, Zn electrodeposition will occur at active sites on the steel surface and also on the Zn electrodeposited during the primary nucleation. The exclusion zones around the growing Zn nuclei from the primary nucleation, to some extent hinder the secondary nucleation onset.

### 3.1.3 The effects of $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ on the Zn electrodeposition

The effects of $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ on the cathodic electrochemical curves of Zn electrodeposition can be seen in Fig. 9. There is practically no effect on the pre-bulk electrodeposition region, but, as $\left[\mathrm{Co}^{2+}\right]$ increases, the current densities attained at the plateau of process $c_{1}$ are reduced. Also, the new increase in current density beyond $c_{1}$ (towards $c_{2}$ ) is displaced to more negative potentials. It is observed, at least at the beginning, that the ZnCo electrodeposition curves are very similar to those for Zn electrodeposition,
maintaining all the characteristics discussed in 3.1.2 for bulk electrodeposition.

The current density of peak $c_{2}$ is also gradually diminished with increasing $\left[\mathrm{Co}^{2+}\right]$ and shifts to more negative potentials. Also, the well-defined peak $c_{2}$ produced at 0 M $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ is gradually converted to a shoulder at the highest $\left[\mathrm{Co}^{2+}\right]$. This might be the result of the HER occurring on a deposit rich in Co and/or $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ reduction itself.

### 3.2 The electrodeposition of Co and ZnCo

The $i-E$ curves for $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ reduction and the effects on them of adding $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ are shown in Fig. 10. Specifically in stationary electrode conditions (Fig. 10a), as expected, the electrodeposition of Co , which is nobler than Zn , starts to occur at more positive potentials than that of Zn . The Co electrodeposition curve in Fig. 10a is characterized firstly by a wave, labelled $c_{\mathrm{Co}, 1}$, then a peak $c_{\mathrm{Co}, 2}$ at a potential of approximately -1.15 V and, after that, a new increase in current density is observed, related to the HER on Co together with $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ reduction. The peak $c_{\mathrm{Co}, 2}$ has the same maximum current density as that for Zn electrodeposition (curve 2), as expected from their equal molarity ( 0.4 M ). All the processes are mass-transfer controlled.

The $i-E$ profiles shown in Fig. 10 for Co electrodeposition suggest that $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ reduction has two steps. The wave $c_{\mathrm{Co}, 1}$ is not in the upd region since the Nernst reversible potential for $0.4 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ is approximately -0.57 V . Also, it cannot be related to reduction of a $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$


Fig. 8 The dependence of the $\left|q_{\mathrm{a}} / q_{\mathrm{c}}\right|$ ratio for voltammetric zinc electrodeposition (a) on $\omega, \mathrm{pH}$ and presence of $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BO}_{3}$, at $\left[\mathrm{ZnSO}_{4}\right]=0.4 \mathrm{M}$ and (b) on $\left[\mathrm{ZnSO}_{4}\right]$, as indicated. All the data corresponds to an $E_{\lambda}$ of -1.19 V as the ones in Fig. 7
complex with $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ since, at $\mathrm{pH} 2, \mathrm{Co}\left(\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}\right)_{6}{ }^{2+}$ is the predominant species [63-65]. Direct reduction of $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ is assumed by Matsushima et al. [66], at $\mathrm{pH}=3$, and Grujicik and Pesic [64] at $\mathrm{pH}=6$. Regarding $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BO}_{3}$, it is assumed it has no effect on this behavior since $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BO}_{3}$ is related to changes in the morphology of Co deposits [67-69]. From the work of Kleinert et al. [70], the electrodeposition of Co shown in Fig. 10 can be interpreted as a slow $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ reduction in parallel with the HER on newly formed Co nuclei at the beginning and, at more negative potentials, as a faster $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ reduction, giving rise to peak $c_{\mathrm{Co}, 2}$.

In Fig. 10, if $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ is present in the Co electrodeposition solution, the $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ reduction at the beginning is practically inhibited and the $i-E$ profile is very close to that of pure Zn electrodeposition (Fig. 1). EDX analysis of ZnCo films electrodeposited at various galvanostatic current densities $\left(i_{\mathrm{g}}\right)$ is shown in Fig. 11 as the variation of $[\mathrm{Co} / \mathrm{Zn}]$ ratio with $i_{\mathrm{g}}$. The Co content in these ZnCo deposits was very


Fig. 9 Typical $i-E$ curves for the steel disk electrode in the Zn electrodeposition solution $\quad\left(0.4 \mathrm{M} \quad \mathrm{ZnSO}_{4} \cdot 7 \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}+0.3 \mathrm{M}\right.$ $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BO}_{3}+1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH} 44$ ) under stationary electrode conditions, with various concentrations of $\mathrm{CoSO}_{4}$ added to the solution. $v=10 \mathrm{mV} \mathrm{s}^{-1} . E_{i}$ : starting potential
low, varying between 0.3 and $0.6 \mathrm{wt} . \%$. However, this composition is a useful one for the purpose of corrosion protection [33].

The data in Fig. 10 show that, before $c_{1}, \mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ hinders the HER considerably but it also hinders $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ reduction. This is a well-known effect in ZnCo electrodeposition [37, $39-42,71]$, even with a much lower $\left[\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}\right]$ than $\left[\mathrm{Co}^{2+}\right]$ [39, 41]. Some authors consider that $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ assists $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ reduction and that the hindering of Co electrodeposition appears only above a certain percentage of Zn in the deposit [43, 44]. Before this hindering of $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ reduction by $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ occurs, there must be some Co electrodeposited on the steel. This Co can act as a center for $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ adsorption and this is also postulated as one reason for the subsequent hindering of $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ reduction [37,38]. It is expected that at high $\left[\mathrm{Co}^{2+}\right]$, larger quantities of Co will be electrodeposited before $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ reduction starts to be hindered by $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ reduction.

The ZnCo electrodeposition curves under forced convection being very similar to those of Zn , the pre-bulk upd layer and the primary and secondary nucleation and growth processes must also be present.

## 4 Conclusions

Zinc electrodeposition onto steel from acid sulfate solutions exhibits a pre-bulk electrodeposition region where Zn upd competes with the parallel hydrogen evolution reaction from $\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}$reduction (HER). Under forced convection conditions, the HER is faster and only small quantities of Zn upd are formed.


Fig. 10 Typical $i-E$ curves for the steel electrode in : (1) blank solution ( $0.3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BO}_{3}+1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ ); (2) Zn electrodeposition solution ( $0.4 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{ZnSO} 4+0.3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BO}_{3}+1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH} 4 \mathrm{Cl}^{2}$ ); (3) Co electrodeposition solution $\left(0.4 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CoSO} 4+0.3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BO}_{3}+1 \mathrm{M}\right.$ $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ ); (4) ZnCo electrodeposition solution ( $0.4 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CoSO}_{4}+0.1 \mathrm{M}$ $\left.\mathrm{ZnSO}_{4}+0.3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BO}_{3}+1 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}\right)$; (5) ZnCo electrodeposition solution ( $0.4 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{CoSO}_{4}+0.4 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{ZnSO}_{4}+0.3 \mathrm{M} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BO}_{3}+1 \mathrm{M}$ $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{Cl}$ ). (a) Stationary and (b) rotating electrode conditions. $v=10 \mathrm{mV} \mathrm{s}^{-1} . E_{i}$ : starting potential

The bulk electrodeposition of Zn is initiated with primary nucleation and diffusion-controlled growth, followed by secondary nucleation and growth. Primary nucleation and growth seems to occur on top of the previously formed Zn upd layer. Smaller surface coverage of the electrode by bulk electrodeposited Zn occurs under forced convection conditions, where Zn upd is also small, due to high HER.

The electrodeposition of Co on steel is strongly hindered by $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ in solution.

The ZnCo electrodeposition curves are very similar to those of $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ reduction, especially at the beginning, even with equal concentrations of $\mathrm{Zn}^{2+}$ and $\mathrm{Co}^{2+}$ in solution. They also exhibit a pre-bulk electrodeposition region followed by primary and secondary nucleation and growth.


Fig. 11 Variation of $[\mathrm{Co}(\mathrm{wt} . \%) / \mathrm{Zn}(\mathrm{wt} . \%)]$ ratio in $80 \mathrm{C} \mathrm{cm}^{-2}$ ZnCo films electrodeposited at $\omega=600 \mathrm{rpm}$, as a function of $i_{\mathrm{g}}$
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